



Ultracur3D[®] DM 4505 N

Dental | Model | Natural

Extended TDS

Complete Technical Documentation
and Testing Summary

Contents

Technical Data Sheet	3
Pressure & Temperature Resistance	5
Dynamic Mechanical Analysis (DMA).....	7
Accuracy for dental applications	8
Accuracy stability over time.....	12

Are you looking for an updated TDS version? [Check out the latest online version here.](#)

Technical Data Sheet

Water-washable dental resin for highly accurate models and molds.

The data contained in this publication is based on our current knowledge and experience. In view of the many factors that may affect processing and application of our product, this data does not relieve processors from carrying out their own investigations and tests; neither does this data imply any guarantee of certain properties, nor the suitability of the product for a specific purpose.

Any descriptions, drawings, photographs, data, proportions, weights etc. given herein may change without prior information and do not constitute the agreed contractual quality of the product. It is the responsibility of the recipient of our products to ensure that any proprietary rights and existing laws and legislation are observed.

The safety data given in this publication is for informational purposes only and does not constitute a legally binding MSDS. The relevant MSDS can be obtained upon request from your supplier or you may contact Forward AM Technologies GmbH directly at sales@forward-am.com.

General Properties	Method	Typical Values
Appearance	-	Natural Beige
Viscosity, 25°C	Cone/Plate Rheometer ¹⁾	1200 mPas
Viscosity, 30°C	Cone/Plate Rheometer ¹⁾	800 mPas
Density (Printed Part)	ASTM D792	1.2 g/cm ³
Density (Liquid Resin)	ASTM D4052-18a	1.1 g/cm ³
Tensile Properties ²⁾	Method	Typical Values
E Modulus	ASTM D638	2500 MPa
Ultimate Tensile Strength	ASTM D638	55 MPa
Elongation at Break	ASTM D638	5%
Flexural Properties	Method	Typical Values
Flexural Modulus	ASTM D790	2300 MPa
Flexural Strength	ASTM D790	77 MPa
Impact Properties	Method	Typical Values
Notched Izod (Machined), 23°C	ASTM D256	19 J/m
Unnotched Izod, 23°C	ASTM D4812	201 J/m
Notched Charpy (Machined), 23°C	ISO 179-1	1.2 kJ/m ²
Thermal Properties	Method	Typical Values
HDT at 0.45 MPa	ASTM D648	79°C
HDT at 1.82 MPa	ASTM D648	58°C
Glass transition temperature (DMA, tan(d))	ASTM D4065	119°C

Other	Method	Typical Values
Hardness Shore D	ASTM D2240	82
Water Absorption, Short-Term (24 hours)	ASTM D570	1.66%
Water Absorption, Long-Term (>400 hours)	ASTM D570	>5%

Mechanical properties overview

- 1) Determined with TA-Instrument DHR rheometer, cone/plate, diameter 60 mm, shear rate 100 s⁻¹
- 2) Tensile type ASTM D638 type IV, Pulling speed 5 mm/min
- 3) If not noted otherwise, all specimens are 3D printed. Samples were tested at room temperature, 23°C. ASTM sample size (L x W x H): ASTM D790 127 x 3.2 x 12.7 mm, ASTM D256 63 x 12,7 x 12 mm, ASTM D4812 63 x 12,7 x 12 mm, ASTM D648 127 x 3.2 x 13 mm, ISO 179-1 80 x 4 x 10 mm

The data contained in this publication is based on our current knowledge and experience. In view of the many factors that may affect processing and application of our product, this data does not relieve processors from carrying out their own investigations and tests; neither does this data imply any guarantee of certain properties, nor the suitability of the product for a specific purpose.

Any descriptions, drawings, photographs, data, proportions, weights etc. given herein may change without prior information and do not constitute the agreed contractual quality of the product. It is the responsibility of the recipient of our products to ensure that any proprietary rights and existing laws and legislation are observed.

The safety data given in this publication is for informational purposes only and does not constitute a legally binding MSDS. The relevant MSDS can be obtained upon request from your supplier or you may contact Forward AM Technologies GmbH directly at sales@forward-am.com.

Pressure & Temperature Resistance

The pressure and temperature performance of a material is key to enable a broad range of applications and industries. Both can have a drastic effect on mechanical properties, therefore testing under these certain conditions can give an idea of the resistance of a photopolymer.


Test Method and Specimens

ASTM D638 type IV tensile bars were immersed in water with exposed to pressure from all sides and tested according to the conditions listed below, the effect on mechanical properties was investigated.


Pressure from all sides	Temperature	Time
5 bar	50°C	5 minutes, 10 minutes, 30 minutes, 60 minutes and 90 minutes
5 bar	75°C	5 minutes, 10 minutes, 30 minutes, 60 minutes and 90 minutes

Testing conditions pressure, temperature and time

Mechanical Testing



Change in mechanical properties, 50°C




Change in mechanical properties, 75°C

Dynamic Mechanical Analysis (DMA)

In this DMA measurement, a cyclic strain is applied to the sample, and the response of the sample is recorded as a function of temperature. This can give a good impression of the changes in material behavior, both at low and high temperatures. The measured Storage modulus is a good indication of the stiffness of the material. The maximum in Tan Delta gives the glass transition temperature.

	Setting
Measurement	Strain-controlled
Temperature sweep	1°C / min
Strain	0.016% (linear viscoelastic regime)
Type of loading	Dual cantilever
Frequency	1 Hz

Testing conditions DMA



DMA curve

Accuracy for dental applications

In the dental field, the fit of dental restoration or orthodontic appliances is an important aspect. To make sure the appliance or restoration fits well in the patient's mouth, the accuracy of the part needs to be ensured. With 3D printing, we can scan and compare the printed parts with the original designed file. This document demonstrates the high accuracy that can be achieved with Ultracur3D® DM 4505 N for dental models, as well as the performance and accuracy when using these models for thermoforming.

Test Method and Specimens


For printing Ultracur3D® DM 4505 N, a MiiCraft Ultra 125 Y, MiiCraft Ultra 125, Asiga Max UV and rapidshape i30+ printer were used. Print parameters and post-processing was done as described in the corresponding User Guideline of the material. For scanning we used the FINOSCAN MOTION HR scanner.


To see if a material is suitable for thermoforming, different types of models were printed and thermoformed with a 1 mm thick foil at 160°C.

Device: Erkoform 3d +
Foil Name: Erkodur
Used Foil: 1 mm
Temperature: 160°C
Cooldown: 45 seconds




The overall process for evaluating the dental model materials is as following:






Demo Model 1:

Upper jaw, solid design with a connector for extra support.



Demo Model 2:

Lower jaw, solid design without a connector.




Demo Model 3:

Lower jaw, solid design with a connector for extra support.

Scan explanation

For the scan comparison, the original STL file and the printed part will be loaded in a software and compared. With this comparison, it can be seen how much deviation the printed part has to the original STL file. The blue areas show that there is not enough material while the red areas show there is too much. As an example, in the picture on the right, it shows that we have an x and y shrinkage problem. The red and blue parts are evenly spread over the model. Note that some areas of the scanned part are not shown, this is usually due to not properly spraying the surface of the printed part, some sort of reflection or a difficult angle.




For the thermoforming tests, the scan of the thermoformed part was compared with the scan of the printed part and not with the original STL file. This way, it can be observed what exactly happens during the thermoforming process.

Accuracy scan test


Printed on MiiCraft Ultra 125 Y

Demo model – solid upper jaw with connector: scan of 3D **printed model** vs. **original STL**.



90 % within tolerance +/- 100µm (solid)


Demo model – solid upper jaw with connector: Scan **after thermoforming** vs. scan of 3D **printed model**.



92 % within tolerance +/- 50µm (solid)


Printed on MiiCraft Ultra 125

Demo model – solid lower jaw without connector: scan of 3D **printed model** vs. **original STL**.



94 % within tolerance +/- 100µm (solid)


Demo model – solid lower jaw without connector: Scan **after thermoforming** vs. scan of 3D **printed model**.



82 % within tolerance +/- 50µm (solid)

Printed on Asiga Max UV

Demo model – solid lower jaw with connector: scan of 3D **printed model** vs. **original STL**.



89 % within tolerance +/- 100µm (solid)


Demo model – solid lower jaw with connector: Scan **after thermoforming** vs. scan of 3D **printed model**.



97 % within tolerance +/- 50µm (solid)


Printed on rapidshape i30+

Demo model – solid lower jaw with connector: scan of 3D **printed model** vs. **original STL**.



84 % within tolerance +/- 100µm (solid)

Demo model – solid lower jaw with connector: Scan **after thermoforming** vs. scan of 3D **printed model**.



97 % within tolerance +/- 50µm (solid)

Accuracy stability over time


In the dental field, the fit of dental restoration or orthodontic appliances is an important aspect. To make sure the appliance or restoration fits well in the patient's mouth, the accuracy of the part needs to be ensured, also several days or weeks after printing.

Test Method and Specimens

For printing Ultracur3D® DM 4505 N, a 385 nm DLP printer was used. For scanning we used the FINOSCAN MOTION HR scanner. To see if a material is suitable for the long term stability test, the model without the connector was chosen as it is usually the most sensitive to dimensional changes.


In our test, the model was exposed to daylight at all times and stored at room temperature.

The overall process for evaluating the dental model materials is as following:




Scan explanation

For the scan comparison, the original STL file and the printed part will be loaded in a software and compared. With this comparison, it can be seen how much deviation the printed part has to the original STL file. The blue areas show that there is not enough material while the red areas show there is too much. As an example, in the picture on the right, it shows that we have an x and y shrinkage problem. The red and blue parts are evenly spread over the model. Note that some areas of the scanned part are not shown, this is usually due to not properly spraying the surface of the printed part, some sort of reflection or a difficult angle.




Long Term scan test

Demo model – lower jaw without connector: scan of 3D **printed model** vs. **original STL**.




91% within tolerance +/- 100µm (solid)

Demo model – lower jaw without connector: scan **after 24 h** vs. scan of 3D **printed model**.




90% within tolerance +/- 50µm (solid)

Demo model – lower jaw without connector: scan **after 48 h** vs. scan of 3D **printed model**.



89% within tolerance +/- 50µm (solid)

Demo model – lower jaw without connector: scan **after 7 days** vs. scan of 3D **printed model**.



87% within tolerance +/- 50µm (solid)